

Whittington Health Trust Board

26 June 2013

Title:	Board Assurance Framework 2013/14						
Agenda item:	13/094		Paper			10	
Action requested:	<i>For information only</i>						
Executive Summary:	<p>The Assurance Framework sets out the strategic risks against the achievement of the Trust's Business Plan for 2013/14. It demonstrates the extent to which assurance can be provided on mitigating these risks and where further actions are required to fully reduce them to an acceptable level and to give assurance to the Board that they are being effectively managed.</p> <p>It was last reviewed by the Audit Committee on 16 May and reported to Trust Board on 22 May.</p>						
Summary of recommendations:	To note						
Fit with WH strategy:	The BAF provides assurance to the board that the key risks to the achievement of the Trust's strategy are identified and effectively managed.						
Reference to related / other documents:	Corporate Risk Register, Risk Management Strategy						
Date paper completed:	6 June 2013						
Author name and title:	Louise Morgan Trust Company Secretary			Director name and title:		Dr Yi Mien Koh Chief Executive	
Date paper seen by EC	n/a	Equality Impact Assessment complete?	n/a	Risk assessment undertaken?	Yes	Legal advice received?	n/a

Strategic Goal	Ref	Corporate/Principle Risks <i>Should be high level potential risks which if happened will prevent the objective from being achieved</i>	Executive Lead	Current risk rating		Movement from 16 April 2013	Controls <i>The systems and processes in place that mitigate the risk</i>	Target risk rating end of Q1		Gaps		Due Date		
				Impact	Likelihood			Residual Risk Score	Impact	Likelihood	Residual Risk Score		Gaps in Controls or Assurance <i>Where an additional system or process is needed, or evidence of effective management of the risk is lacking and need improved reporting on assurances</i>	Action Plans <i>gaps in control/assurance to address</i>
NHS Outcomes Framework 2013/14 Domain 2: Enhancing Quality of life for people with long term-conditions														
1. Integrate models of care and pathways to meet patient needs	1.1	If we fail to secure support for our IBP from our commissioners, then we will not be able to maintain (let alone grow) our market share or transform clinical services.	YMK	4	4	16	1. Partnership Transformation Board meets monthly and includes senior leaders from Haringey and Islington CCGs. 2. CCGs actively involved in shaping the IBP. 3. Informal contacts with CCGs by exec and non-exec members of TB	1. New engagement arrangements to ensure CCG convergence with service developments, activity and income assumptions included in any revised LTFM and IBP. 2. Status of engagement with CCGs and leaders regularly reported to the TB by CEO, Director of Planning, DoF etc.	4	3	12	Systematic engagement with CCGs in relation to next iteration of IBP to be finalised	1. Proposal to come to FT steering group re engagement with CCGs 2. Negotiations with CCGs to commence in June once revised LTFM and IBP is complete.	Jun-13
	1.2	If we fail to maintain ongoing support from GPs as primary care providers and sources of referrals, then we will not be able to maintain (let alone grow) our market share or transform clinical services.	GB	4	3	12	1. Regular two way communication with GPs - led by Medical Director for Integrated Care (MDIC), who is himself a local GP. 2. Involvement of GPs in Integrated Care MDTs	1. Feedback from GP practice visits by CEO and MDIC. 2. Regular reporting of quality of services of particular concern to GPs (e.g. direct access acute services; and community services such as MSK, podiatry). 3. Audit Committee deep dive into GP engagement, Sep 2012	4	3	12	Capacity to develop and deliver formalised primary care engagement strategy	1. Recruit business development manager. 2. Complete GP directory of services. 3. Implement GP electronic communication	Apr-13
	1.3	If we do not improve the quality, completeness and timeliness of performance reports, then we may lose the support of commissioners who value more detailed data, we may be unable to correct performance issues in a timely manner and our FT application may fail.	LMa	4	4	16	1. Data Validation process 2. Escalation framework 3. Referral management administrative processes 4. Staffing capacity and competency in demand and capacity planning 5. Data Quality Review Group workplan	1. RTT Action Plan 2. Cancer and RTT Steering Committee and Clinical Advisory Panel 3. Data Quality Group workplan 2. Establishment of a PMO to support delivery 3. Integration of Performance and Information functions 4. Weekly data report	4	4	16			
	1.4	If commissioners choose to market test services in order to improve affordability of services, services may be priced at a lower level or decommissioned.	RM	5	2	10	1. Two year block contract provides a control through 2013/14. 2. In the longer term, our strategy to be the low cost/high quality provider will enable us to provide competitive services. 3. Close engagement with local CCGs and GPs (see risk 1.1) enables us to be more responsive to their needs.	1. Periodic reports from CEO, MDIC etc following interactions with GPs and CCGs 2. Deep dive by finance and development committee in April 2013	5	2	10	Evidence that our services are competitive or better on quality and cost	1. Recruitment of Business Development manager	May-13
NHS Outcomes Framework 2013/14 Domain 4: Ensuring that people have a positive experience of care														
2. Ensuring "no decision about me without me"	2.1	If our patient experience is poor, our patients will suffer, our reputation will suffer, our CCG support and our FT application will be at risk	BS	5	2	10	1. Quality is top of TB agenda and at the heart of the business, with clear lines of accountability down to ward/community level. 2. Datix incident reporting system and integration with risk management processes. 3. Staff encouraged to raise concerns - e.g. through Partnership Board & Meet the CEO programme. 4. Special controls to ensure CIPs do not threaten quality - Clinical Advisory Panel meeting (6-12 weekly meeting)	1. Bimonthly Quality Committee meeting 2. Bimonthly Quality visits in each division 3. Clinical risk reports to QC from each division each meeting 4. Review of integrated performance dashboard at QC 5. Written reports - SIs, NHS LA, 6. Quarterly reports from feeder committees 7. Hotspot deep dives	5	2	10	1. Patient experience surveys and results 2. Pressure ulcers (grade2 and above)	1. Full roll out of Friends & Family scores on inpatient wards and ED from April 2013, maternity October 2013 and community April 2014 2. Plan to achieve NHSLA Level 2 by February 2014 3. PET in each ward to achieve higher percentage scores in each of the CQIN areas of the pt survey 4. Specific improvement plans related to areas of poor performance in pt experience surveys.	Monthly review of KPIs by TB. Quarterly patient safety reports to quality committee
	2.2	If we do not engage our stakeholders in the development and decisions about our strategies, confidence in our strategic direction will be undermined and our clinical and organisational reputation will be damaged.	YMK	5	3	15	1. Communication and engagement plan 2. Regular meetings with key stakeholders 3. Partnership Board 4. Listening exercise 5. Whittington weekends	1. Regular status of engagement with stakeholders reported to the TB by Chairman and CEO etc. 2. Interim Director of Communications recruited 3. Review of communication function	5	3	15		1. Report to Trust Board regarding outcome of engagement activities	Jul-13
NHS Outcomes Framework 2013/14 Domain 3: Helping people to recover from episodes of ill health or following injury														
NHS Outcomes Framework 2013/14 Domain 5: Treating and caring for people in a safe environment; and protecting them from harm														
3. Delivering efficient and effective services	3.1	If we fail to maintain staff engagement then staff morale will decrease and the delivery of changes in services and patient pathways will not happen in line with the plan	JR	4	3	12	1. Staff engagement strategy includes communications, alignment meetings, visible leadership at all levels 2. Clear commitment to clinical leadership at service line level. 3. Strengthened processes for compliance with mandatory training. 4. Partnership Group meetings	Deep dives into staff engagement at audit committee 7/3/12 and 13/9/12	4	3	12	1. More evidence of staff engagement and monitoring of progress. 2. Board site visits. 3. Lack of specific strategy for consultant engagement and metrics to demonstrate	Quality committee members undertaking visits to divisions bimonthly commencing in October 2012. Quality Committee will receive feedback reports from visits at each bimonthly meeting. Patient Safety Walkabout programme revised and approved by Quality Committee September 2012.	Monthly review of KPIs by TB. Quarterly patient safety
	3.2	If we fail to deliver CIPs and planned productivity improvements, then our financial stability will reduce, our FT application will be jeopardised and local patient services may be put at risk.	LMa	5	4	20	1. New PMO established 2. Revised processes for CIP management 3. Divisional performance management meetings, including CIP delivery 4. Reprofitting of CIPs based on CIP target for 2013/2014	1. Formal CIP Board review of all Red and Amber CIP schemes against a framework to ensure consistency and identification of issues 2. Monthly finance report presented to Trust Board	5	3	15			
	3.3	If potential future London-wide service reconfigurations (e.g. colorectal, interventional radiology & vascular surgery, pathology) are implemented, then a significant amount of our activity being decommissioned	MK	3	4	12	1. Active engagement with opinion leaders, local providers and other stakeholders by Medical Director and CEO. 2. Contingency plans developed.	1. Report to Audit Committee Jan and March 2013	3	4	12			
	3.4	If we do not mitigate against potential quality impacts of cost reduction programmes, then patient experience may reduce, patients may choose other providers, the Trust will lose business and the Trust's viability will be put at risk.	Mk/BS	4	3	12	1. All CIP programmes must pass clinically-led Quality Impact Assessment before going forward. 2. Clinical Advisory Group (chaired by Medical Director and Director of Nursing) monitor and report on quality impact of CIPs. 3. Divisional Boards are responsible for assessing all quality risks in the division and for implementing mitigating actions	1. Quality committee and TB regularly review measures of quality, including: Complaints, Incident reporting, Friends & Family scores, GP surveys, Readmission rates, Mortality etc 2. TB monitors staffing ratios (e.g. nursing & midwifery) against good practice standards. 3. Divisional Board scrutiny of impact	4	3	12	1. Full roll out of Friends & Family scores. 2. NHSLA Level 2 3. Pressure ulcer incidence 4. C-diff/ MRSA incidence	1. Full roll out of Friends & Family scores on inpatient wards and ED from April 2013, maternity October 2013 and community April 2014 2. Plan to achieve NHSLA Level 2 by February 2014 3. PET in each ward to achieve higher percentage scores in each of the CQIN areas of the pt survey 4. Specific improvement plans related to areas of poor performance in pt experience surveys.	Monthly review
	3.5	If WH does not improve the environment and efficiency of the maternity department, then the service may not continue to be financially viable or clinically safe.	YMK	4	3	12	1. Quality of patient care and experience is monitored as in all departments through patient feedback, complaints, incident reports etc. 2. Funds now secured for substantial upgrade of maternity environment, through combination of Estates Strategy and NHS grant award.	1. Estates strategy was approved by TB in Jan 2013. 2. Performance of maternity is subject of regular reviews by Quality Committee 3. Maternity redevelopment plan in development 4. Additional funding approved by DH	4	3	12		Maternity business plan	Aug-13
	3.6	If we do not fully implement Service Line Management (SLM), then consultants will not know where and how to improve efficiency, weakening their clinical leadership in the achievement of CIPs and financial trajectories	RM/Lma	5	2	10	Structured roll out of SLR to clinical leads, training provided by divisional directors, clinical directors and clinical leads on how to interpret reports. CLs and CDs use SLR data to reduce cost level pf RCI = 100 by 2014/15	1. Finance & Development committee remit includes monitoring of SLM implementation. 2. SLM reports reviewed monthly at TB, to include names of CLs. 3. Evidence of SLR data being used to inform decision making. 4. Audit committee deep dive in SLM.	5	2	10	Additional SLM resources to divisions to be identified	Additional SLM resources to divisions to be included in organisational capacity plan due for presentation at EC in March 2013	May-13

Strategic Goal	Ref	Corporate/Principle Risks <i>Should be high level potential risks which if happened will prevent the objective from being achieved</i>	Executive Lead	Impact	Likelihood	Residual Risk Score	Movement from 16 April 2013	Controls <i>The systems and processes in place that mitigate the risk</i>	Management Assurance <i>What are we doing to manage the risk and how this is evidenced - how and when this will be reported to the board?</i>	Independent Assurance <i>External evidence that risks are being effectively managed (e.g. planned or received audit reviews)</i>	Impact	Likelihood	Residual Risk Score	Gaps in Controls or Assurance <i>Where an additional system or process is needed, or evidence of effective management of the risk is lacking and need improved reporting on assurances</i>	Action Plans <i>to address gaps in control/assurance</i>	Due Date
	3.7	If a Tariff deflation proves to be greater than in our plans, then this will reduce WH income and may affect its financial viability	RM	5	2	10	→	1. Block contract provides security through 2013/14. 2. In the longer term, our strategy mitigates some of this risk through growth in additional services and with other commissioners.	LTFM assumptions and associated risks periodically reviewed by F&D Committee		5	2	10	External review of LTFM	1. E&Y review of LTFM commissioned Feb 2013.	Monthly review through 13/14 financial
	3.8	If payroll related costs including severance are higher than planned this will cause financial instability against financial plans	RM	4	3	12	→	1. Our plans are to take advantage of existing vacancies and natural staff turnover (which combined are substantially in excess of envisaged workforce reductions over the next 5 years) to minimise redundancies	LTFM assumptions and associated risks periodically reviewed by F&D Committee		4	3	12	External review of LTFM	1. E&Y review of LTFM commissioned Feb 2013.	Monthly review through 13/14 financial
	3.9	If there is non compliance with information governance Toolkit requirements this would adversely affect CQC assessment, FT application requirements and we will be failing in our statutory obligations	RM	4	3	12	↓	1. IG improvement plan to meet Level 2 IG Toolkit compliance, by time of FT authorisation, monitored by Information Gov Committee (IGC) 2. IG policies	1. IG Toolkit submission and report 2. IG report to Audit committee bi annually 3. IG report to Trust Board annually	1. Parkhill internal audit review due July 2013	4	2	8	Outstanding issues in the following areas: 1. Records management 2. Mandatory training compliance 3. Longitudinal six month audit of data quality practice	IG action plan in place to complete outstanding issues in the following areas by June 2013.	Jun-13
	3.10	If integrated risk management is not embedded across the Trust, the Trust will not deliver safe and effective services	BS	4	4	16	New risk	1. Policies in place regarding risk management, incident reporting, and serious incident reporting. 2. Recruitment of new quality posts (2 posts) into central team and divisional structures 3. Training with individual specialities in place 4. Roll out of compliance programme to support CQC	1. Progress reports at divisional and committee level 2. Increase in incident reporting across the Trust	1. Parkhill annual internal audit of governance arrangements 2. CQC inspection 3. CSU assurance reports 4. Quality visits with TDA	4	3	12	1. Achievement of NHSLA Level 2 pilot 2. Level of risk assessments being completed across the Trust to increase	project in place to address and achieve by 2. NHSLA pilot assessment due for September.	Jun-13
	3.11	If our services are unsafe, our patients will suffer, our reputation will suffer, and our CQC licence and FT application will be at risk	MK	5	2	10	Newly articulated risk	1. Clinical policies, procedures and guidelines 2. Professional registration, appraisals, PDPs,	1. Clinical outcome measures, SHMI 2. Clinical audit 2. Incident reporting	1. External service reviews 2. National benchmarking	5	2	10			
NHS Outcomes Framework 2013/14 Domain 1: Preventing people dying prematurely																
4. Improve the health of local people	4.1	4.1.1 If we fail to meet quality standards (eg CQC essential targets, waiting times for ED, Cancer, and therapy services) then our patients may be experiencing poor care, our reputation will suffer, and our CQC licence and FT application are both at risk	BS/LMa	5	2	10	→	1. Quality is top of TB agenda and at the heart of the business, with clear lines of accountability down to ward/community level. 2. Datix incident reporting system and integration with risk management processes. 3. Staff encouraged to raise concerns - e.g. through Partnership Board & Meet the CEO programme. 4. Special controls to ensure CIPs do not threaten quality (see above).	1. Monthly performance report to trust Board 2. Bimonthly Quality Committee meeting 3. Bimonthly Quality visits in each division 4. Clinical risk reports to QC from each division each meeting 5. Review of integrated performance dashboard at QC 6. Written reports - SIs, NHS LA, 7. Quarterly reports from feeder committees 8. Hotspot deep dives	1. SHMI <70 over last 6 quarters. 2. CQC inspection reports 2. MQGF assessment 2012. 3. Ongoing complaints and negligence claims data. 4. Comparison of nursing, midwifery & HCA ratios versus similar Trusts. 5. NHSLA Level 1 completed Feb 2012. 6. NHS Staff survey identifies high management commitment to patient care compared to other trusts	5	2	10	1. Full roll out of Friends & Family scores. 2. NHSLA Level 2	1. Full roll out of Friends & Family scores on inpatient wards and ED from April 2013, maternity October 2013 and community April 2014 2. Plan to achieve NHSLA Level 2 by February 2014 3. PET in each ward to achieve higher percentage scores in each of the COIN areas of the pt survey 4. Specific improvement plans related to areas of poor performance in pt experience surveys.	Monthly review
5. Fostering a culture of innovation and improvement																
	5.1	If the FT programme is not well planned and managed, then we may miss our deadlines and fail our FT application	YMK	5	3	15	→	1. Refreshed and stronger Board now in place, with capabilities and governance processes to lead an FT. 2. Integrated care organisation now in place, well placed to deliver its strategic vision. 3. Partnerships with Commissioners and other healthcare providers provide support for the implementation of our strategic vision. 4. Team in place for programme management of FT application.	1. FT Board provides scrutiny of programme management. 2. Status of FT application is standing item on TB agenda 3. Review of Board capacity 4. Board Development programme	1. Internal audit on FT programme. 2. MQGF report by RMS Tenon 3. BGAF by E&Y. 4. HDD2 repeat by Deloitte. 5. Working capital by KPMG 6. B2B Feedback	5	3	15	1. Action Plan following B2B incl Board strengthening of IBP strategy 2. FT Programme Manager	1. Review of executive capacity and capability 2. Action plan and revised timetable for discussion at B2B seminar 3. EY support 4. Succession plan regarding departure of Director of Programmes and Planning	Monthly review by TB
	5.2	If management capacity for change leadership is too stretched, transformation in the way services are provided and managed will not be achieved	YMK/JR	4	3	12	→	1. Ongoing commitment to increase capacity by delegating leadership to lower levels in the organisation - e.g. creation of 3 Divisions, appointment of Service Line Clinical Leads etc.2. Regular monitoring of management capacity & capability through appraisals, 360° feedback, Board development programme, and external feedback via FT process. 3. Selective strengthening of management capacity from external sources - e.g. Interim OD Director; E&Y support to	1. Change leadership capacity discussed in Board Seminars. 2. Informal NED discussions on leadership capability & capacity	1. BGAF report. 2. Informal discussions with other external stakeholders who know us well (e.g. NCL, NHSL, CCGs)	4	3	12	1. Ongoing objective to raise capability of Board members and other senior leaders. 2. Additional management capacity still required in selected areas	Monthly board development programme	TB BGAF Annual review June 2013
	5.3	If we do not implement an effective OD strategy, we will fail to evolve / employ / train our work-force to deliver service changes and productivity improvements and therefore CIP will not be delivered, services will not be transformed safely and our FT application will be significantly jeopardised.	JR	5	3	15	→	1. Continued development of integrated training & education programme, focused on skills relevant to the Trust's strategy. 2. Processes to maximise compliance with mandatory training. 3. Ongoing Board and other leadership development programmes. 4. Continued reinforcement of a culture that encourages mentoring, continuous improvement, and innovation. 5. Appointment of Interim Director of OD, Feb 2013.	1. TB regularly reviews workforce statistics, incl training, appraisals, sick leave, vacancies etc. Interim OD Director hired Feb 2013	1. NHS 2011 workforce survey shows WH in top 20% of trusts nationally for: training, engagement, teamwork	5	2	10	1. Refinement of OD strategy and associated programmes. 2. Appraisals and job planning. 3. Leadership development - e.g. for clinicians to become effective service line leaders	1. External support on workforce and organisational development plans from Nov '12. 2. Interim OD Director hired Feb 2013 3. OD report due for presentation to the Finance and Development Committee in April 2013.	Review by Finance and Development Committee April 2013
	5.4	If the quality of teaching is not excellent, then commissioners (UCL, Middlesex and LETB) may not renew their teaching contracts. This will not only lead to a loss in income, it may lead to loss of trainees who are a critical part of service delivery.	MK/BS	3	2	6	→	1. Post graduate medical education board chaired by Director of Education oversees quality of training. 2. Director of UCL Medical School is a NED on the Trust Board. 3. Commitment to maintain/enhance training infrastructure evidenced by TB 3. approval of capital expenditure for e.g. Library, Clinical Skills Centre	1. Education Strategy Group developing education strategy	1. Medical education audit annually, NMC audit of education standards, NMC audit of mentorship,	3	2	6		1. Clinical Education Strategy Group convened for 20/03/2013 (re configuration of LETB and educational funding for individual professional groups). Next meeting May 2013.	Mar-13
	5.5	If the Electronic Patient Record Project is not delivered on time, transformation of the organisation and delivery of the IT strategy will be delayed.	RM	4	3	12	New risk	1. EPR Management Board in place, with associated programme management arrangements in place	1. EPR Project Phase 1 - Project Board Dashboard 2. Community Requirements Analysis Review Meeting Schedule (Phase 1)	1. Go live date for maternity complete.	4	3	12			