

### **Questions for boards**

Trust Draft Response
Annual Objectives approved by the board and included in Annual Plan. In–year review. Regular discussion at board and seminars on strategic direction
See Annual Plan 2009-2010 and draft plan 2010-11
See above
Corporate objectives cascaded to director objectives and incorporated in appraisal and development system
Objectives cascaded through the appraisal system. Annual appraisal for all staff and in-year progress review. Corporate objectives reflected in operational objectives for specialist areas and workforce and education plans

#### Sources of evidence

- Annual Plan
- Board reports and minutes
- Executive Committee minutes
- HR report on appraisal performance
- Education and training strategy

Governance structures	
6. Are the governance structures clear and straightforward with minimal overlap?	Streamlined structure following appointment of new chairman and NEDs. Accepted by Monitor in FT application 2008
7. How well do we understand our governance structures and how do we think current governance arrangements could be improved?	Regularly reviewed by EC and board. The integrated audit and assurance function (through a single Audit Committee) has been operating for nearly two years. Further discussion is needed on where detailed scrutiny of the financial position should take place most effectively. Currently board discussion is supplemented by 1:1 discussions with the chairman of the Board and the chairman of the Board and the chairman of the Audit Committee but is not within its terms of reference.

**Audit Commission Questions** 



Sou	rces of evidence	
Governance structure chart		
Standing Orders, Reservation and	Delegation of Powers, Standing Financial Instructions	
Terms of reference of Audit Commit	ttee and sub-committees	
Board papers and minutes		
Achieving objectives		
8. How do we oversee the strategy for achieving our objectives?	Six-month and year end monitoring of progress against corporate objectives. Quarterly return on performance against the Annual Plan to Board and NHS London	
	Board Assurance Framework (BAF) constructed around corporate objectives	
9. How do we ensure that the systems of	Quarterly review of BAF	
internal control are operating robustly?	Reports from internal and external auditors to board Audit Committee	
Sources of evidence		
• BAF		
Audit Committee reports and minut	es	
Audit Committee reports to Board		
<ul> <li>Annual plan monitoring return inclu</li> </ul>	ding governance self-assessment	
Head of Internal Audit Opinion		
Statement on Internal Control		
District Audit Annual letter		
Board meetings		
10. Is our board agenda dynamic and focused on the right things: the strategy and its implementation?	Board agendas programmed in advance and subdivided into Chairman/Executive reports, strategy, operational issues and governance. Time targets included and monitored. Detailed strategic discussion on confidential matters also included in Part 2 and at board seminars.	
11. How much time do we spend on strategic issues at board meetings?	See above. Difficult to estimate exactly and is variable. Most trust board seminars cover strategic issues which are subsequently referenced in board meetings. Including Part 2 discussion there would typically be at least an hour's discussion on strategic issues and often more.	

**Trust Draft Response** 



Audit Commission Questions	Trust Draft Response
12. To what extent do we have the right information prepared for board meetings to allow us to monitor this?	See above. Agenda structured and timed.
	We shall keep this under review and ensure adequate time allowance for some of the more substantial and strategic matters.
13. Have we considered and acted on The Intelligent Board report?	Yes it was discussed when first published and principles taken on board. Dashboard report developed using the Intelligent Board model.
14. Are board meetings managed effectively?	Yes, given the constraints and the amount of business that has to be done. There is scope for further improvement in the quality of executive summaries to reduce the time spent introducing papers and allow more time for discussion and challenge.
15. What improvements could be made	Comprehensive sharing of objectives
to ensure that we operate as a team?	Trust Board Away Day
	NEDs to meet monthly with CEO on an informal basis
	Pairing of NEDs and executives directors.
16. Do we have trust and respect between executive and non-executive directors?	Yes – healthy challenge and open discussion.
Sources of evidence	

- Board programme 2009-10
- Board agendas and minutes
- Director feedback on chairman's performance

#### **Board operation and skills** 17. What skills do we need as a board? Board skills assessment carried out on an annual basis led by Director of HR. Board development programme in place. Examples of skills required: strategic vision and planning, performance management, financial management and planning, knowledge of statutory and regulatory framework, clinical expertise, ability to engage with stakeholders, 18. To what extent do we have the right All covered via qualified and experienced exec team skills as a board? and non-execs with financial, legal, political and management consultancy backgrounds. 19. How clear are we about what the role Fully discussed and agreed in the process of board of the chair and non-executive directors development, particularly in the period of the should be? foundation trust application process. 20. Do we delegate responsibilities Scheme of delegation approved annually. Decision-



Audit Commission Questions	Trust Draft Response	
effectively and appropriately?	making structure carefully designed and tested.	
Sau	rces of evidence	
	rces of evidence	
<ul> <li>Annual skills assessment</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Board development programme</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>CVs of directors</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Governance structure chart</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>FT application section 9</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Annual plan</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Chairman's and directors' appraisa</li> </ul>	Is	
Strategic risks		
21. How can we be sure that we have identified all of our strategic risks?	Robust process for constructing the BAF, cross- referenced to corporate objectives, the Annual Plan and the risk register.	
22. Are we monitoring strategic risks properly and what level of independent scrutiny or constructive challenge from within the organisation is there?	BAF updated regularly by the executive team and reviewed at least quarterly by the Audit Committee and Trust Board. Annual BAF review of BAF process by internal audit.	
23. How timely and relevant is the performance information that we use to monitor risks?	Monthly finance report and performance dashboard. Regular challenge by NEDs to ensure reports evolve to meet information needs as they develop and change.	
24. What reports do we receive that provide evidence of the effectiveness of risk management and progress in achieving strategic objectives?	Quarterly BAF review, quarterly review of performance against the annual plan, reports of subcommittees of the Audit Committee (clinical governance, H&S), in-year review of performance against objectives.	
Sources of evidence		
See answer to qu.24 above.		
Board reports and minutes		
Management and monitoring of risk		
25. How do we provide leadership on risk management?	Head of risk management is an Assistant Director of Nursing reporting to the Director of Nursing and Clinical Development. The latter is joint chair with the Medical Director of the Clinical Governance Committee and joint chair with the Director of Facilities of the Health and Safety Committee.	



Audit Commission Questions	Trust Draft Response
26. Do we monitor the trust's main operational risks?	Yes through the active maintenance of a risk register based on real-time incident reporting and risks identified by operational groups and committees. RR updated quarterly and reviewed by Executive Committee. Newly identified risks discussed by EC as they arise and reported to the Trust Board.
27. How can we be sure that the risk management processes in place will avoid operational risks becoming strategic risks?	Regular review of operational risks on risk register to ensure action plans are on track. Risk register and BAF compared and cross-referenced to enable strategic risk ratings to be informed by the position on relevant operational risks.
Soul	rces of evidence
Reports on risk register and BAF	
Audit Committee reports to Board	
Board minutes	
Risk management strategy and policy	
Risk measurement	
28. How clear are we about our risk appetite?	Low risk threshold in operation, particularly in the case of clinical risk and patient safety.
29. Do we quantify risk appropriately?	The conventional 5x5 risk scoring matrix is used. Regular peer review and oversight by Risk Manager and corporate secretary aim to secure consistency in scoring. NED reviews risk ratings on the risk register in detail.
30. Do we have an accountability framework for the trust that sets out the level of risk that is expected to be managed at each level of the trust?	Key responsibilities are specified in the risk management strategy and policy, but not yet explicit for all levels within the trust. Work in progress.
31. Have we devolved risk management sufficiently and how can we be sure that it is embedded within operational processes and that there is ownership of risk?	Risk management training in induction programme and mandatory training programmes. New electronic system of incident reporting being rolled out with comprehensive training programme. Further work is being done on this and will be closely monitored by the Audit Committee.
Sources of evidence	
Risk Management Strategy and Policy	

• Datix project plan and implementation programme



Audit Commission Questions	Trust Draft Response	
Risk culture		
32. Do we understand what risk culture we are trying to embed?	Covered in induction and mandatory training	
33. Do we know what a good risk culture looks and feels like?	Regular review of risk register by managers and Executive Committee. Standing item on agendas of H&S, Clinical Governance and Audit Committee and quarterly review by Trust Board.	
34. How and when do we communicate our risk culture?	Through publication of board papers, distribution of risk management bulletin "Cat's Eyes". Visible Leadership programme including weekly walkabouts by senior nursing staff.	
Soul	rces of evidence	
NHS Litigation Authority assessments		
Minutes of Executive Committee, Audit Co	mmittee, Trust Board	
ALE assessment		
Use of internal audit		
35. How are we using the internal audit function to obtain assurance on internal controls?	Annual risk-based audit plan approved by the Audit Committee. Results of internal audit reviews inform the Head of Internal Audit's Opinion and feed into the trust's Statement on Internal Control (SIC).	
36. Is the scope and level of investment in internal audit appropriate?	External Audit able to place reliance on internal audit. Benchmarking evidence from other acute trusts.	
37. How are we maximising the assurances we can gain from internal audit and do internal audit staff have the right skills and experience?	Action plans arising from audit recommendations are closely monitored by the Audit Committee. Regular updates on validating implementation are provided by internal audit. A qualification and capacity is explicit in the contract and is monitored by the Director of Finance.	
38. Are we making best use of other independent sources of assurance?	External audit, and numerous regulatory and inspection bodies. All reports and recommendations are followed-up through the monitoring of action plans by the appropriate committee or sub-committee.	
Sources of evidence		
Audit Committee papers and minute	es.	
Head of Internal Audit Opinion		
Ticad of internal Addit Opinion		



Audit Commission Questions	Trust Draft Response	
Compliance	•	
-		
39. Do we need to establish or increase investment in a separate compliance function to ensure operations comply with laws, rules, regulatory requirements and our policies?	The trust has appointed a corporate secretary (part- qualified ICSA) whose remit includes the monitoring of compliance. There is a qualified head of legal services and also dedicated staff in risk management, health and safety, and procurement functions. The capacity will be kept under review in response to any organisational development or change.	
Sou	rces of evidence	
Job descriptions of posts identified	above.	
Use of clinical audit		
40. To what extent do we use the clinical audit function appropriately?	Clinical audit well-established with consultant lead, annual programme, participation in national audits and specialty-based activity. Monitored by Clinical Governance Committee. Annual report received by the Audit Committee.	
41. Is the clinical audit function systematic and focused on our own risks as well as on nationally identified issues?	Yes, the programme draws on risks derived by incident reporting system and SUIs	
42. Are the results of clinical audit work regularly reported to the board through the assurance framework?	They are reported to the Audit Committee in detail with exception reports to the board.	
43. Does clinical audit give us a comprehensive view of the quality of clinical services across the trust's portfolio?	Clinical audit is an important element of the overall picture. Other evidence is provided by clinical quality indicators on the dashboard, Root Cause Analysis and Serious Untoward Incident reports, and external regulation and inspection.	
Sou	rces of evidence	
Clinical audit annual report		
Reports from the Clinical Governance Committee to the Audit Committee		
RCAs and SUI reports		
Sources of assurance		
44. What are our potential sources of assurance?	Scrutiny by the Audit Committee across the whole of trust activity. BAF, audit reports, external regulation and inspection reports, patient and staff surveys, feedback from governors and focus groups. Handheld electronic devices now provide more systematic analysis of patient experience.	



Audit Commission Questions	Trust Draft Response
45. Do we use assurances appropriately, balancing them across the risk profile of the trust?	The BAF provides the overview and is based on the assessment of the trust's risks as identified in the annual planning process.
46. How have we satisfied ourselves that assurances are not skewed towards big and topical projects and that we keep our eye on the ball more widely?	Financial and clinical audit programmes are risk based. The risk register draws on incident reports which pick up areas of weakness which are not necessarily high profile. EC review of risks. Non-executive director challenge at Audit Committee and Trust Board. Brainstorming of potential risks which may not have materialised.
47. How do we systematically test and evaluate the sources of assurance?	Triangulation of various sources of both internal and external assurance, e.g. CGC annual health check, ALE
Sou	rces of evidence
Audit Committee papers and minute	es
External inspection reports	
Board sub committees	
48. Where have we set out the roles and responsibilities of sub-committees to the board and do we receive full and appropriate reports from them?	Terms of reference agreed by Trust Board. The only sub-committees are Executive Committee (EC), Audit Committee (AC) and Remuneration Committee (RC). EC reports to each TB, AC reports to TB after each meeting. RC reports to TB after each meeting.
49. Specifically, how will the audit committee programme enable it to meet the board's expectations?	Audit Committee programme guided by the Audit Commission handbook. All non-executive directors (except chairman) are members of the committee. Chairman receives papers. AC submits annual report to the board.
50. Do all non-executive directors have the opportunity to communicate with those on the sub-committees?	Yes - Audit Committee programme guided by the Audit Commission handbook. All non-executive directors (except chairman) are members of the committee. AC submits annual report to the board.
Sources of evidence	
Trust Board minutes	
Audit committee minutes	
Self declarations	
51. How do we ensure that the statement on internal control is robust and consistent with other declarations and self certifications?	The SIC is reviewed by both internal and external auditors. The SIC has on occasions been amended following discussion with auditors.



<b>Audit Commission Questions</b>	Trust Draft Response
52. Would our self declarations stand up to rigorous external scrutiny?	There is peer group cross-checking by non-lead directors on aspects of self-declaration. Chairman and chief executive review self-declarations and supporting evidence in detail before sign-off.
0	na a a facilitaria

### Sources of evidence

- Head of Internal Audit opinion (annual statement)
- External auditor's report to those charged with governance

Auditor's certification

Data quality – culture and responsibiliti	es
53. Is there a corporate framework in place for the management and accountability of data quality?	The Director of Performance, who is also the Senior Information Risk Owner, chairs an Information Governance Steering Group and a Data Quality Group
	A Data Quality Policy is in place.
	External audit checks, e.g. annual Audit Commission review of clinical coding (Whittington rated excellent 2009/10), Information Governance Toolkit submissions (Whittington rated green), monthly activity submissions to Secondary User Services (SUS) always pass data quality checks before being processed onto HES and to PCTs for PbR income
54. Is there a commitment to secure a	Data Quality Group
culture of data quality throughout the organisation?	Data Quality Policy
	3 x wte dedicated data quality staff to support improvements
55. How have we made clear the responsibility for data quality governance and accountability at all levels of the organisation?	The Director of Performance, who is also the Trust Senior Information Risk Owner has executive responsibility for data quality and chairs the Data Quality Group.
	Within the IM&T directorate there is a team of 3 wte dedicated data quality staff to work with operational leads to identify and deal with data quality issues.
56. Do our clinicians understand the purpose and use of the data collected?	All clinicians are given training on how to use applications, including the importance of accurate and



Audit Commission Questions	Trust Draft Response
	timely data for patient care, clinical audit, PbR. Good use is made of Dr Foster data, dashboard information, and quality accounts
Sources of evidence	

#### Sources of evidence

- Audit Commission report on clinical coding
- Information Governance Toolkit submissions
- Data Quality Group action plans
- Terms of reference of the Data Quality Group and Information Governance Steering Group
- PAS User manual

Data quality – policies and training				
57. What policies or procedures are in place to secure the quality of the data used for reporting?	Data Quality policy			
	Trust Data Quality meeting, Duplicate registration meeting, Maternity data quality meeting			
	Daily validation reports go back to operational services to highlight data quality issues for action e.g. duplicate patient registrations, valid GPs, valid postcodes, ED attenders, outpatient outcomes etc			
	Weekly validation reports for NHS numbers			
	Monthly submissions to Secondary User Service (SUS) for all admitted care, outpatient and ED attenders			
58. What policies and guidance on data quality do we have? Are they appropriate?	Data Quality policy			
	Detailed user guides e.g. PAS			
	(both reviewed every 2 years and updated in March 2010)			
59. What policies or procedures are in place to secure the quality of the data used as part of the normal business activity of the organisation?	Data Quality policy			
	Trust Data Quality meeting, Duplicate registration meeting, Maternity data quality meeting			
	Daily validation reports go back to operational services to highlight data quality issues for action e.g. duplicate patient registrations, valid GPs, valid postcodes, ED attenders, outpatient outcomes etc			
	Weekly validation reports for NHS numbers			
	Monthly submissions to Secondary User Service (SUS) for all admitted care, outpatient and ED attenders			



Audit Commission Questions	Trust Draft Response		
60. How has the trust ensured that staff have the knowledge, competencies and capacity in relation to data quality?	Detailed user guides for applications		
	Classroom and one to one training sessions for new users and on-going refresher training		
61. What kind of training is made available on data quality issues?	Re-fresher training e.g. PAS		
	Issue specific training e.g. 18 week stop and start clocks		
	Dedicated input from 3 wte data quality staff		
Sources of evidence			

- Data Quality policy
- Daily validation reports
- User guides
- SUS data quality reports
- Training material

ī	Jse	Ωf	Ы	ata
ı	JSE	UI	u	ala

62. What arrangements are there to ensure that data supporting reported information are actively used in the decision-making process?	Weekly "flash" reports used at Executive Team to monitor Trust performance e.g. bank and agency use, HAI, elective activity.  Monthly dashboard reports based on the Intelligent Board guidance to HMB and Trust Board used to monitor finance, workforce and activity  Data used to in business case development \ service improvement proposals to justify investment
63. Are data subject to a system of internal control and validation?	Internal data quality checks to ensure submitted data meets SUS data quality measures e.g. % of valid NHS number, % of valid GP etc.  Control total checks e.g. volumes of data extracted, submitted, and processed to ensure no data loss

### Sources of evidence

- Weekly flash reports
- Monthly HMB\Trust Board dashboards
- · Business case submissions
- Log files
- SUS data quality reports

### Data quality assurance



Audit Commission Questions	Trust Draft Response			
64. What arrangements are there to ensure that data supporting reported information are actively used in the decision-making process?	Repeat of 62			
65. Are data subject to a system of internal control and validation?	Repeat of 63			
66. What controls do we have to ensure that the quality of data used for decision	Internal data quality checks e.g. comparison of control totals with previous period			
making is good enough?	Use of analytical tools including standard statistical tests and SPC charts.			
67. Is the quantity and timeliness of information we receive for board meetings adequate?	Performance dashboard report and finance report received at every meeting and kept under continuous review in terms of adequacy and appropriateness Further work in hand on the definition of targets and explanations of variations. Timeliness could be improved for some reports, to be facilitated by the recent change in the cycle of board meetings			
68. How do our board reports explain the assurance process for the data contained in them?	Reports labelled to clearly identify the data source, period and activity type. All board reports have a cover paper which provides an executive summary and indicates the relevant assurance medium.			
69. Do our board reports clearly highlight any issues with data quality?	Riders or concerns about data quality are flagged up in the narrative in the dashboard reports.			
	Trust always meets data quality thresholds required by SUS and is always rated green for its IGT submissions			
Sources of evidence				
Weekly flash reports				
Monthly HMB\Trust Board dashboards				
Business case submissions				
Log files				
SUS data quality reports				