Principal Risk Description	Risk	Level	Over- all Risk Level	Expected Controls	Assurances including categorisation	Gaps in controls Including evidence from items on risk register	Gaps in Assurances	Actions required/Timescale and Lead Officer/ Progress
	Impact	Likeli-						
	-	hood						

The Whittington Hospital NHS Trust Board Assurance Framework revised January 2009

1. To consistently meet regulated standards of clinical care, delivered through a framework of well governed systems and processes. 2009-10 Directorate objectives:

OP1, OP2, MD1, MD2, MD3, NU1, NU2, NU3, PC3, FA1, HR1, HR2, IN3, IN4, PP3, AD1, AD3

Care Quality Commission core standards:

C1 patient safety, C2 child protection, C3 NICE guidance, C4 reducing infections, C5 evidence based practice,

C15 food & nutrition

1.1	Risk of poor clinical	4	3	12	Incident and SUI	Dr Foster data used by	Evidence from red-rated	None identified	Introduction of Medical
	outcomes				reporting well	clinical groups regularly	risks recorded in the risk		Early Warning scores
					established and policy		register		Jan 2010
	Objective:			Green	up to date.	Regular Clinical			GIC
	MD1			to		Governance Committee			
	NU1			amb	Departmental audit	Reporting to			Review and improve
	AD3				meetings review clinical	Audit Committee since			patient transfer
					outcomes regularly.	June 2008 bimonthly			arrangements with
	AP 3.4.1					Dashboard Report to TB			Queen Square. New
					Care pathways	since March 2008			SOP under development
					implemented and	monthly			(GM with consultant)
					followed in appropriate	Clinical audit			By April 2010
					settings	programme mapped to			GIC
						national priorities			
					Up to date information	Health commission			
					available to clinical and other staff via intranet	standards being assessed			Training, dissemination
					and internet	assesseu			of policies, supervision
					and internet	Patient safety first			mentorship
					Risk management	campaign action plan			
					awareness and training	approved by board			Medical and Nursing
					awareness and training	March 2009. Progress			directors
						report to September			ongoing
						board.			
									GIC
						Audit of out-of-ITU			
						cardiac arrests			
						Substantial Assurance			

For directorate objectives see separate table AP = Annual Plan 2009-10 January 2010 update v1

Principal Risk Description	Risk Level	Over- all Risk Level	Expected Controls	Assurances including categorisation	Gaps in controls Including evidence from items on risk register	Gaps in Assurances	Actions required/Timescale and Lead Officer/ Progress
	Impact Likeli- hood						

1.2	Insufficient numbers of staff in key areas to provide adequate clinical care Objectives: OP1 HR2 AP 3.3.1	4	3	12	Local management responsible for identifying if insufficient staff to relevant director/ HMB Early plans developed to address issues	Executive Committee monitors staff numbers against activity on a weekly basis. Internal management review. SHA review regular reports e.g. on vacancies. Substantial Assurance	Recruitment and retention difficulties for middle grade doctors in ED, paeds, O&G and anaesthetics (London wide problem)	None identified	Recruitment drive in India for ED (ED consultant) Director of Ops High priority March 2010 GIC
1.3	Failure to plan effectively to meet the requirements of a pandemic e.g. swine flu Objectivse: OP1 AP reference to winter pressures 3.4.1	4	2	8	Detailed plan developed	Plan agreed by HMB and SHA Flu resilience plan rated green by NHS London Substantial Assurance	Lack of mission critical medical consumables store on site.		Agreed plan with NHS supply chain, Director Ops and infection control. Facilities to locate storage area March 2010 Director of Facilities High priority GIC
1.4	Failure to provide adequate decontamination services Objective: AD3 AP 3.3.1	4	3	12	Operational protocols in place Monitoring of incidents Staff training programme User group meetings Use of 49-point survey to establish cleaning	Part of Northwest London Joint Venture (NWLJV) project. Clinical governance steering group and TB review clinical incidents Decontamination Committee Infection Control Committee	Findings of CQC hygiene code inspection. Report dated Dec 2009	NWL project service transition postponed twice. New transition date 8 Feb 2010	Equipment washer use has been suspended due to delays in transfer of Sterile Services to off site provider. New endoscopy unit fully operational GIA JAG assessment complete

For directorate objectives see separate table AP = Annual Plan 2009-10

January 2010 update v1

Page 20f 15

	Principal Risk Description	Risk Level		Over- all Risk Level	Expected Controls	Assurances including categorisation	Gaps in controls Including evidence from items on risk register	Gaps in Assurances	Actions required/Timescale and Lead Officer/ Progress
		Impact	Likeli- hood						
					efficiency of ward based equipment Deep clean facility using HTM2030 compliant washer for all non- electrical ward based equipment (i.e. commodes) Medical Equipment Library to ensure that all electrical medical devices are subject to regular cleaning using approved methods	Annual systems and department audit Local implementation team working towards transition of services off site from 8 Feb 2010 Substantial Assurance			Director of Facilities High priority
1.5	Failure to replace medical equipment to keep pace with technology and demand (New risk from RR)	3	4	12	5 year capital investment plan Annual medical equipment plan approved by the Medical Devices Group	Capital Monitoring Committee reporting to board. Managed Equipment Service and Investment Committee for Imaging Centralised medical equipment asset register identifying all medical and laboratory equipment and expected replacement dates Medical devices group reports to clinical governance committee Resuscitation Committee reports to the clinical governance committee	Poor state of resuscitation equipment and inadequate stock control of disposables. Initial RR rating 5x4=20 (red)	None identified	Procurement of new replacement equipment Director of Facilities Dec 09 Establishment of centralised consumables store Director of Nursing Dec 09 Review of staff resources Director of Ops GIC
	For directorate obj separate table	ectives s	ee		January 2010 update v1		ge 30f 15	GIC = gap in GIA = gap in	

AP = Annual Plan 2009-10

Principal Risk Description			Over- all Risk Level	Expected Controls	Assurances including categorisation	Gaps in controls Including evidence from items on risk register	Gaps in Assurances	Actions required/Timescale and Lead Officer/ Progress
	Impact	Likeli-						
		hood						

2. To improve our operational management to achieve resource efficiencies and continuous service improvement 2009/10 Directorate objectives:

OP1, OP2, OP3, MD1, MD2, MD3, NU1, NU2, NU3, PC1, PC2, PC3, FA1, FA2, HR1, HR2, HR3, IN1, IN2, IN3, IN4, PP1, PP2, PP3, FD1, FD2, FD3, AD1, AD3, AD4

Healthcare Commission core standards:

C1 patient safety, C5 evidence based practice, C7 corporate & clinical governance, C8 leadership & accountability

C9 records management, C15 food & nutrition, C18 equality & choice, C24 major incident planning

2.1									Action plans to address
	Failure to meet	4	4	16	Service plans in place	EDIS (system)	Weak booking systems	None identified	issues.
	healthcare core					monitoring and control	in some service areas		
	targets as set out in				Establishment and	Performance monitoring			
	NHS operating			Amb	recruitment strategy	-all HMB & TB meetings			Action Plan agreed and
	framework, and other			to red		(internal and external)			signed off by senior
	performance and SLA					from April 2008 to			management and
	targets, with the					present			clinical team.
	possibility of financial						Problems with cancer 62		
	penalties					Dashboard reports from	day target in some		Daily meetings to review
						March 2008 to present	specialties		breaches and address
	Moved from section 1					_			causes
	and now incorporates								Director of Ops
	18 week target								March 2010
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,								
									Ligh priority
									High priority
									GIC

	Principal Risk Description	Risk Level		Over- all Risk Level	Expected Controls	Assurances including categorisation	Gaps in controls Including evidence from items on risk register	Gaps in Assurances	Actions required/Timescale and Lead Officer/ Progress
		Impact	Likeli- hood						
	Objective: OP1, OP2 MD2 NU1, NU2 AD1, AD3 AP 3.4.1					Daily reports on potential breaches of 18wk target with actions identified Monthly reports to NHS London re cancer target performance. Agreed trajectory for meeting 2 week breast cancer target and associated action plan Quarterly reports to NHS London Substantial Assurance			January dashboard GIC Director of Operations High priority
2.2	Failure of data security (loss or breach of confidentiality) leading to potential civil or criminal action and damage to reputation	4	3	12	Encryption across all portable media Up to date IT Security policy and mandatory training for all staff	Encryption in place for all Trust laptops. Up to date IT Security policy in place and mandatory training for all staff from Sept 2009. Now included in mandatory training for all new starter and all clinical staff.	No encryption yet for USB memory sticks and CD burning as CfH data loss protection software does not function properly. A further procurement by CfH to address this has now happened and the new software is being installed and tested	None identified	Testing in IM&T in December 2009. Roll- out to Trust for completion end Feb 2010 IM&T consultant High priority GIC

3. To deliver excellence in customer care, by being caring and responsive in every patient contact. 2009/10 Directorate objectives: OP1, OP2, NU2, NU3, PC1, PC2,PC3, FA1,FA2,FA3, HR1, IN1, IN3, IN4, AD1, AD3 Healthcare Commission core standards:

For directorate objectives see separate table AP = Annual Plan 2009-10 January 2010 update v1

Page 50f 15

	Principal Risk Description	Risk Level		Over- all Risk Level	Expected Controls	Assurances including categorisation	Gaps in controls Including evidence from items on risk register	Gaps in Assurances	Actions required/Timescale and Lead Officer/ Progress
		Impact	Likeli- hood						
	C13 dignit C17 patier					ood & nutrition, C16 patie	nt information,		
3.1	Failure to implement the Whittington service promise Objectives: OP2 NU2 PC1, PC3 FA1, FA2, FA3 AD1, AD3 AP not referenced	4	3	12	HMB & TB reports on progress of implementation	HCC and local patient surveys New feedback from hand held electronic short surveys Dashboard Report Reduction in complaints Limited assurance	Inadequate trend analysis due to period of detailed data.	Fuller evidence-based reporting to TB	Update march Trust Board. Fully Implement customer focussed marketing strategy by April 2010. GIC GIA Director of Primary Care High priority
3.2	Service quality compromised through reactive cost reduction	4	3	12	Dashboard reports to Trust Board, Hospital Management Board and Divisional Boards on performance against the key performance indicators in the clinical quality, patient experience, access and targets and workforce domains. Reports from Clinical governance committee to Audit committee	SMR HCC and local patient surveys Staff survey results Complaints reports HAI rates Risk register review of risk action plan Board assurance framework review of risk Target performance Substantial assurance	None identified	None identified	Early budget-setting under general manager supervision reporting to Business Planning Group All directors Dir of Planning and Performance March 2010

4. Provide a safe and sustainable environment for the delivery of healthcare, by modernising and improving the clinical estate. 2009/10 Directorate objectives: OP2, OP3, MD1, MD2, MD3, NU1, PC3, FA1, HR1, PP4 Healthcare Commission core standards:

C13 dignity & respect, C20 environment, C21 cleanliness

For directorate objectives see separate table AP = Annual Plan 2009-10 January 2010 update v1

Page 60f 15

Principal Risk Description	Risk Level		Over- all Risk Level	Expected Controls	Assurances including categorisation	Gaps in controls Including evidence from items on risk register	Gaps in Assurances	Actions required/Timescale and Lead Officer/ Progress
	Impact	Likeli- hood				¥		
 Insufficient investment in the physical environment and failure to redevelop effectively the hospital site to accommodate future business requirements Objective: PP1, PP4 AD1, AD2, AD3, AD4 	4	4	16 Amb to red	Estates strategy 5-year capital programme based on business and estate needs Compliance with legal requirement re H&S and DDA	ERIC (Estates Return Information Consortium) returns Business Planning Group, Capital Monitoring Committee Reporting to Trust Board via Executive Committee and Audit Committee Substantial assurance	-	Uncertainty over NCL strategy Insufficient evidence of affordability and competing demands for space envelope	Interim maternity scheme to be complete Over a three year perio to 2013 Director of Facilities High priority GIA Future requirements dependent on outcome of NCL reconfiguration plans Lead. CEO
AP 3.3.1 Failure by WFL and their facilities management service provider to deliver a safe and effective service to the GNB and new acute wing, giving rise to Trust exposure to PFI legal and statutory non- compliances that cannot be addressed though the payment mechanism Objective: not referenced AP 3.3.1	4	3	12	Weekly operational meetings with JASL Monthly performance monitoring meetings with WFL Effective application of payment mechanism Robust performance management data from WFL/JASL Dedicated performance monitoring officer Planet FM operational database	Monthly liaison committee meetings (or as often as required) formally reported to EC Independent survey commissioned August 2008 Legal opinion on options Substantial assurance	Trust/WFL H&S committee established wef Jan2010		GIA Capitec DDCA process completed and follow-u audit report received June 2009. Indications are that there are no issues remaining as unresolved. Lead Director of Facilitiies High priority
For directorate ob separate table	jectives se	e		January 2010 update v1	l Pa	ge 7of 15	GIC = gap in GIA = gap in	

AP = Annual Plan 2009-10

Description	Risk Level		Over- all Risk Level	Expected Controls	Assurances including categorisation	Gaps in controls Including evidence from items on risk register	Gaps in Assurances	Actions required/Timescale and Lead Officer/ Progress
	Impact	Likeli- hood						
						-		
				PPM condition B action plan from JASL				
				Trust/WFL H&S Committee				

 5. To position the Whittington as an integral part of the local community's health resource and the hospital of choice for local people.
 2009/10 Directorate objectives: MD2, PC1, PC2, PC3, FA3, IN3, IN4, AD2, AD3 Healthcare Commission core standards: C6 working with other organisations, C22 reducing inequality, C23 health promotion

5.1	Adverse changes in strategic decisions of commissioners of services Objectives: PC1, PC2, PC3 PP1 AD2 AP 3.3.1	5	3	15	Business planning and LDP process Signed SLAs with commissioners SHA strategic planning SLA – Trust/PCT - monitoring meetings Primary Care Interface Group meetings	Regular CEO/PCT meetings bimonthly CEO regular liaison monthly TB review position regularly SLA for 2009-10 signed end March 2009 Substantial Assurance	None identified	Uncertainty about Healthcare for London and NCL strategy Investment by PCT in independent sector contracts (ISC) Lack of clarity of governance arrangements for the PCT joint commissioning agency	PCT will roll up decision on urgent care provision following closed consultation with the strategic review and development of the emergent polysystem model – Consultation Autumn 2010 Discussions ongoing through the SLA monitoring process on the use of ISC by the PCTs GIA Director of Planning and Performance/Director of Ops
-----	--	---	---	----	---	--	-----------------	--	---

For directorate objectives see separate table AP = Annual Plan 2009-10 January 2010 update v1

Page 80f 15

	Principal Risk Description	Risk	Level	Over- all Risk Level	Expected Controls	Assurances including categorisation	Gaps in controls Including evidence from items on risk register	Gaps in Assurances	Actions required/Timescale and Lead Officer/ Progress
		Impact	Likeli- hood						
5.2	Reputation damage leads to loss of public confidence affecting choice & demand Objective: PC1 AD1,AD2, AD3 AP not referenced	5	2	10	Whittington Promise Damage limitation strategy Reputation awareness and assessment	Regular local patient surveys and HCC surveys Regularly report to HMB & TB Systematic consideration of reputational aspects of all risks at EC Mitigations through actions and communications Role of Council of Governors as ambassadors and sources of feedback Membership engagement Substantial Assurance	Whittington Promise not yet fully embedded	Insufficient information from stakeholder surveys Comprehensive dashboard indicators not yet complete	Customer Focused marketing and patient experience strategies being implemented. Target April 2010 Further development of dashboard indicators Director of Primary Care Director of Planning and Performance Medium priority Continuous w-i-p GIC GIA

6. To employ competent, motivated staff who place the interests of patients first 2009/10 Directorate objectives: OP3, MD1,MD3, NU1, NU2, NU3, PC1,PC2,PC3, FA1,FA2 HR1, HR2, HR3, IN1, PP2, PP3, FD2, FD3, AD1, AD2, AD3 Healthcare Commission core standards: C8 leadership & accountability, C10 employment, C11 education & development, C13 dignity & respect

	Principal Risk Description	Risk	Level	Over- all Risk Level	Expected Controls	Assurances including categorisation	Gaps in controls Including evidence from items on risk register	Gaps in Assurances	Actions required/Timescale and Lead Officer/ Progress
		Impact	Likeli- hood						
6.1	Inability to recruit adequately skilled non-clinical staff and develop staff competencies sufficient to deliver services and meet quality objectives Objective: HR1 AD1 AP 3.3.1	4	3	12	Monitoring recruitment and retention rates by the HMB & TB in dashboard IWL Steering Group to review regularly	Achievement of Improving working lives practice plus validation Improved scoring in staff attitude survey Establishment of education and development strategy group Substantial assurance		Follow up internal audit	Recommendations of internal audit HR training review now implemented in accordance with agreed timescales Recommendations of Education Review July 2009 implemented GIA Director of HR High priority

7. To be financially robust and achieve a surplus every year 2009/10 Directorate objectives: OP1, OP2, OP3, MD3, NU1, PC1, PC2, PC3, HR1, HR3, IN2, PP1, PP2, PP4, PP5, FD1, FD2, FD3 ALE (Auditors and Local Evaluation) Assessment:

7.1	Failure to maximise				Finance Plan in place	Internal Audits	Data quality for Service	None identified	Continuous programme
	income due to	4	3	12	Regular reviews of	Peer review	Line Reporting		of identification of gaps
	accurate data				position by every HMB	HMB monitors financial			in data capture and data
	collection, especially				and TB	position monthly	Completeness of data		quality
	in relation to Payment				SLAs in place with PCTs	TB monitors financial	for unbundled activity		
	by results				Project team and action	position monthly	and out-patients under		Director of Planning and
	-				plan in place to increase	External Audit and	HRG4		Performance
	Objectives:				capture of activity	review of PbR coding			
	IN2				-	quality			High priority
	AD4					Late data entry report to			
						project team			GIC

For directorate objectives see separate table AP = Annual Plan 2009-10 January 2010 update v1

Page 100f 15

Principal Risk Description	Risk	Level	Over- all Risk Level	Expected Controls	Assurances including categorisation	Gaps in controls Including evidence from items on risk register	Gaps in Assurances	Actions required/Timescale and Lead Officer/ Progress
	Impact	Likeli-						
		hood						

	AP 3.1.1, 3.3.1					Substantial Assurance			
7.2	Base costs increase by a greater amount than identified in the annual plan such that services cannot be provided within tariff Objective: PP3 FD2, FD3 AD4 AP 3.1.1	4	3	12	Tight control through Executive Team, HMB Business Planning Group	TB monitor overall position every meeting PCTs performance management review monthly Substantial Assurance	Inability to control costs influenced by national policies, eg, inflation implementation of NICE guidelines, consultant contracts MPET funding Service level costing being implemented	None identified	Ensure financial implications of national policies are assessed, ongoing, Included in 5 year annual plan Dir of Finance GIA High priority Continue roll-out of service level costing, reporting and management Dir of Planning & Performance (GIA) High priority March 2010
7.3	2009-10 Cost improvement and increased productivity programme is not achieved leading to budget overspend Objectives: OP1, OP2, OP3 MD3 ND1 HR1, HR3 IN2 AD3, AD4 PC1, PC2,PC3 FD1,FD2,FD3 CE2	3	5	15	CIP schemes in place to achieve breakeven Good quality and timely financial information Compliance with SFIs and procurement procedures including the booking of bank and agency staff Monthly meetings with budget holders KPI included in appraisal process	Exec Committee HMB & TB monitor at every meeting Weekly meetings by Directors with CEO to examine in detail performance against each scheme Efficient services collaborative established to support the work in the Directorates to ensure delivery and risk assessment	Inadequate sanctions for overspending in appraisal process		Action plans underway to reduce spend in top 25 overspending areas Vacancies reviewed to determine whether the posts can be removed Action plans to be implemented to reduce spend in the top 25 overspending areas March 2010 GIC Director of Finance Director of Planning and

For directorate objectives see separate table AP = Annual Plan 2009-10

January 2010 update v1

Page 11of 15

	Principal Risk Description	scription		Over- all Risk Level	Expected Controls	Assurances including categorisation	Gaps in controls Including evidence from items on risk register	Gaps in Assurances	Actions required/Timescale and Lead Officer/ Progress
		Impact	Likeli- hood						
	AP 3.1.1					Dedicated finance manager to monitor actual release of savings from budgets EC and TB monitoring through dashboard and finance report Quarterly report to NHS London Internal audit reports Substantial Assurance			Performance High priority Director of Finance Director of Planning and Performance High Priority GIC
7.4	SIFT allocation materially reduced from 2010/11 Objectives: AD4 AP 3.3.1	4	3	12	Participate in SHA modelling exercise and validate data.	Report to TB	Outcome is mainly outside the control of the Trust.	None identified	Impact will be in 2010/11 with decision known during 2009/10. A transitional arrangement is expected which would cap gains and losses. Impact to be reflected when known and options for restoring viability may need to be identified. RM March 2010 GIC

Principal Risk Description	Risk	Level	Over- all Risk Level	Expected Controls	Assurances including categorisation	Gaps in controls Including evidence from items on risk register	Gaps in Assurances	Actions required/Timescale and Lead Officer/ Progress
	Impact	Likeli- hood						

7.5	Economic recession resulting in lower growth in allocations combined with higher morbidity and demand Objective: PP1 FD3 AD2,AD4 AP 3.3.1	4	4	16	Contingency planning Partnership working with PCTs	Report to TB Quarterly report to NHS London	Outcome outside the control of the trust	None	Economic horizon scanning Risk reflected in Annual Plan Implementation of primary care marketing strategy Ongoing
-----	--	---	---	----	--	---	--	------	--

8. Collaborating with other agencies to shape the delivery of healthcare in the locality **2009/10 Directorate objectives:** OP2, NU1, PC1,PC2,PC3, FA3,IN1, IN3, IN4, PP1,

Healthcare Commission core standards:

C6 working with other organisations, C22 reducing inequality, C23 health promotion

8.1	Failure to agree a	4	4	16	Clinically and financially	Trust actively involved in	CEO and Chairman	Future dependent on
	sustainable solution				sustainable	the E&Y review on	actively involved in	outcome of NCL
	for the provider			Amb	organisations within a	behalf of the Acute	strategic working groups	reconfiguration plans
	landscape – and			to red	reconfigured NCL health	commissioning agency	at NHS London and	Jan 2010
	breakdown in				economy meeting the	of the services	meeting with peers	
	collaboration across				needs of the population	configuration for NCL.	within NCL to inform	GIA
	organisational				and implementing the	Trust working with RFH	Acute Commissioning	Lead: CEO
	boundaries				HfL strategy	to review the acute	Agency planning	
						healthcare needs for the		
						total catchment		High priority
						population to determine		
						how HfL could be		
						implemented and deliver		
						cost reductions in the		
						challenged economic		
						climate.		
						Trust approaching the		
						Autonomous Provider		

For directorate objectives see separate table AP = Annual Plan 2009-10

January 2010 update v1

Page 130f 15

Principal Risk Description			all Risk Level	Assurances including categorisation	Gaps in controls Including evidence from items on risk register	Gaps in Assurances	Actions required/Timescale and Lead Officer/ Progress	
	Impact	Likeli- hood						
					Organisations to undertake a review of the potential for increased collaboration across the primary secondary care interface which meets local needs and achieves clinical quality and efficiency and cost reduction Limited assurance			

9. Reducing hospitalisation (admissions, attendances and length of stay) 2009/10 Directorate objectives: OP1, OP2, OP3, MD1,MD2, NU1, PC3, FA1, IN4,PP1, PP2, AD3, AD4 Healthcare Commission core standards:

C4 reducing infections, C6 working with other organisations, C5 evidence based practice

Ļ	For directorate obj separate table	ectives s	ee	<u> </u>	January 2010 update v1	Ρα	je 14of 15	GIC = gap in GIA = gap in	control
						Substantial Assurance			Lead: Director of Nursing and Clinical Development
						SUI Report			
						Reports to Infection Control Committee and Trust Board			suppression therapy by March 2010
						Report by DoH team Nov 2007, August 2008			Target achievement of screening and
	AP 3.3.1, 3.4.1					benchmarking audits in place	100% suppression therapy for MRSA positive patients		Implement actions from SUI report – monitored by CGC
	Objective: NU1			to green	Bed management policy	Healthcare Commission Standards 'Saving Lives'	Non-Achievement of 100% screening rates for all admissions and		clear policy on cohorting Facilities in place
9.1	Failure to reduce rates of healthcare acquired infection	4	2	8 Amb	Compliance with the Hygiene Code (Health Act 2006)	Report to HMB , TB Monitoring by SHA	Insufficient isolation facilities		Plans for isolation facilities shelved but a

AP = Annual Plan 2009-10

Principal Risk Description	Risk	Level	Over- all Risk Level	Expected Controls	Assurances including categorisation	Gaps in controls Including evidence from items on risk register	Gaps in Assurances	Actions required/Timescale and Lead Officer/ Progress
	Impact	Likeli-						
	-	hood						

				High Priority
				Working with Insitutute for Innovation. New ICP to be implemented. Sept 09 Director of Ops High prioriity

10.To develop and deliver a modern programme of teaching and research activities, by strengthening academic links with educational partners

2009/10 Directorate objectives: MD1, MD2, NU3, HR2, PP1, FD1, AD1, AD2 Healthcare Commission core standards: C11 Education & development, C12 research governance

10.1	Potential change of policy by UCL medical school.	3	3	9	SLAs with medical school/ universities SLA with Postgraduate Deanery/ SHA	TB reviews regularly HMB reviews regularly SHA reviews regularly Substantial Assurance	Build clarity of links between Trust and policies of university	Annual review and agree policy with UCL, and SHA, CEO/ Medical Dir.	
	FOR REVIEW				Risk Management and Governance Committee scrutiny		MRHA accreditation (Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency)	Medium Priority Action plan in place. External consultancy support	

For directorate objectives see separate table AP = Annual Plan 2009-10