

ITEM: 09/062
Doc 08

MEETING: Trust Board – 15 April 2009

TITLE: Information Governance Action Plan ; progress report

SUMMARY:

This paper is a follow up to the Information Governance (IG) update presented to the Trust Board in January 2009.

Overall, the Trust continues to make good progress with implementing the unified Information Governance action plan which is attached (Appendix A)

There have been two notable achievements since January 2009 :-

- the establishment and first meeting of the Information Governance Steering Group (IGSG) in March 2009, chaired by the CEO, in his role as the Senior Information Risk Owner (SIRO). A copy of the terms of reference and membership are attached for information. (Appendix B)
- the annual submission of the Information Governance Toolkit (IGT) assessment for 2008-09. The Trust achieved an overall score of 70% against 62 criteria and was rated Green on performance.

There has been one notable delay to implementing the recommendations of the unified action plan since January 2009 :-

- encryption of person identifiable data on portable media (other than laptops, which are all now encrypted) e.g. USB memory sticks, CDs. The delay is due to technical problems with the CfH solution (McAfee Safeboot).

This problem has been noted by CfH, who have since procured additional encryption software in April 2009. Subject to a successful period of testing, it is anticipated that the encryption of other portable devices such as USB memory sticks and CDs will begin to be rolled out in June 2009.

ACTION: For information

REPORT FROM: Glenn Winteringham, IM&T Consultant

SPONSORED BY: David Sloman, CEO

<p>Compliance with statute, directions, policy, guidance Lead: All directors</p>	<p>NHS Information Governance Assurance Programme (IGAP) ; NHS Information Governance Toolkit ; NHS Confidentiality Code of Conduct ; Data Protection Act</p>
<p>Compliance with Healthcare Commission Core/Developmental Standards Lead: Director of Nursing & Clinical Development</p>	<p>Reference: C09, C13a, C13b</p>
<p>Compliance with Auditors' Local Evaluation standards (ALE) Lead: Director of Finance</p>	<p>Reference: 5.3</p>

Information Governance Action Plan

Information Governance Requirement	Information Governance Action	Deadline	Status
Information Governance Assurance Programme (IGAP)	Review of security to safeguard bulk transfers of person identifiable data. Ensure that all staff are aware of the risks associated with portable media.	21/12/07	Achieved
	Identify other high risk areas and implement appropriate security for any suspended bulk transfers. Complete immediate actions identified in NHS CEO letter of 4/12/07.	31/01/08	Achieved
	All Trusts to complete detailed mapping and risk assessment for all data holding systems and data flows. Mitigation for high risk areas established.	29/02/08	Achieved
	All Trusts to ensure that all data holding systems and data flows are secure.	31/03/08	Achieved
	All Trusts to report Serious Untoward Incidents involving data loss or confidentiality breach in their annual reports.	30/05/08	Achieved No loss or breach in 07/08
	All Trusts to identify and manage information risks in their annual Statement of Internal Controls.	30/05/08	Achieved
	All Trusts to identify a Senior Information Risk Owner (SIRO) at Board level.	30/05/08	Achieved (David Sloman)
	All Trusts to encrypt Person Identifiable Data (PID).		
	- Appoint NHS approved encryption specialist supplier	30/12/08	Achieved
	- Establish test environment	30/12/08	Achieved
- Encrypt laptops	15/01/09	Achieved	
- Encrypt removable media	28/02/09*	In progress (See cover paper)	
- Risk assess PCs and encrypt vulnerable PCs	31/03/09*	In progress	
Serious Untoward Incident (SUI)	Where such data exists within applications, the server should be located in a secure environment	N/A as standard operating	Achieved

Action Plan	<p>and access controlled following the Supplier and best practice guides.</p> <p>Where downloads or extracts of data are held, these are to be securely stored on servers with daily back-up and controlled access.</p> <p>If held on networked PCs, then on an encrypted hard disc, again with daily back-up and controlled access</p>	<p>procedure</p>	
	<p>Any such databases burned or copied to a portable medium should be stored in a locked cabinet or safe with controlled access. When copies are created, a record should be transmitted to a central register maintained within the IM&T department indicating the date of creation of copies, their location within the Trust and the data items contained therein. This information should be accessible only by designated staff within IM&T, the risk management office and the trust corporate secretary.</p>	<p>28/02/09*</p>	<p>In progress</p>
	<p>All such data held on portable media should be encrypted and password protected</p>	<p>28/02/09*</p>	<p>In progress</p>
	<p>The transfer of any such portable data within the Trust should be from person to person. No such data should be put in the internal post or left for collection in an unoccupied office.</p>	<p>30/01/09</p>	<p>Achieved (Revised Information Security Policy)</p>
	<p>The transmission of such databases outside the trust should be either via secure electronic transfer as sanctioned by a designated member of the of the IT department or a trust approved courier service</p>	<p>30/01/09</p>	<p>Achieved (Revised Information Security Policy)</p>
	<p>The member of staff initiating the transmission must take responsibility for verifying safe delivery.</p>	<p>30/01/09</p>	<p>Achieved (Revised Information Security Policy)</p>
	<p>The Trust's Confidentiality Policy (January 2008) should be amended to provide specific</p>	<p>30/01/09</p>	<p>Achieved (Revised Information</p>

	definitions of the safe storage and transport of confidential data.		Security Policy)
	The circumstance in which recorded delivery by post is appropriate or recommended should be set out in the Confidentiality Policy and any other relevant procedure documents. The required safeguards to be in place when using recorded delivery should be made clear.	30/01/09	Achieved (Revised Information Security Policy)
	<p>The relevant member of staff should immediately inform the next inline if there is any uncertainty about the arrival of data at its intended destination.</p> <p>If arrival cannot be confirmed, the situation should be escalated up to director level without delay, including details of the data content and the events sequence. Where the manager or director judges that the identification of a risk of missing data is material, statements from all those involved should be sought immediately.</p>	30/01/09	Achieved (Revised Information Security Policy)
	<p>If there is any question that the non-location of data could become an SUI, the responsible director must alert the risk management office and the CEO and keep them informed.</p> <p>Any external third parties with a material interest should be alerted and kept informed.</p> <p>Where a loss of data is sufficiently serious to be defined as an SUI, its should be declared as soon as there are reasonable grounds to conclude that the loss has occurred, taking account of the need to avoid unnecessary anxiety on the part of individuals or unnecessary damage to the reputation of the trust.</p>	30/01/09	Achieved Revised SUI Policy approved
	Searches for missing portable data which form part of a set of multiple copies should firstly establish the location of known copies as a baseline.	30/01/09	Achieved (Revised Information Security Policy)

	Forensic examination of existing media should be undertaken immediately to establish as far as possible the timing of creation or copying.		
	Contingency plans for response to serious incidences of missing confidential data should be drawn up, including communications and helpline protocols, to minimise delay in activation. These plans should include specific arrangements for instances involving data losses which affect third parties.	28/02/09*	In progress
	The content of induction and refresher training on confidential data should be reviewed and updated.	30/01/09	Achieved (Revised Information Security Policy)
	Managers undertaking staff appraisal should ensure that policies and procedures relating to confidentiality are accessible and fully understood by staff and ensure that PDPs cover any gaps in awareness or understanding.		Achieved
	Temporary staff must be closely supervised in any access to or handling of confidential information.	30/01/09	Achieved (Revised Information Security Policy)
	Executive Directors need to ensure that they are familiar with the SUI policy, including escalation processes, and that all managers have appropriate training.	30/01/09*	In progress
	The importance of following the principles of Prince 2 project management must be reinforced throughout the management structure via the appraisal and development system.	31/03/09*	In progress
	Technical advice and involvement of the IT department and internal audit must be secured at all stages of the project.	N/A	Achieved Mandated in Trust IM&T Strategy 2008-13
	The procurement or development of any new database must be	N/A	Achieved

	submitted for approval through the normal business planning process		Mandated in Trust SFIs
Information Governance Independent Review (Cap Gemini)	Trusts should review existing contracts to ensure that the needs of IGT Requirement 110 are fully met. As a minimum all contracts should contain a description of FOIA and DPA obligations for all parties, how to respond to incidents and information requests from third parties, and clear points of contact.	28/02/09*	In progress
	Where providing a service to other Trusts, a trust should review (in line with IGT Requirement 110) its legal relationships with its client trusts to ensure that any SLA or other arrangements adequately address IG and IS requirements.	31/03/09*	In progress
	Trusts should review its relationship with other trusts where a service is shared to ensure that IG and IS obligations are well defined (and legally enforceable), they have been adequately transcribed into policy and procedure, and they are being followed in practice.	31/03/09*	In progress
	Trusts should ensure that IG and IS responsibilities are clearly defined and understood, particularly if they are split across shared services.	31/03/09	Achieved
	Trusts should ensure that its managers are adequately aware of the importance of proper project management and the dangers of ad hoc projects.	31/03/09*	In progress
	Trusts should ensure that staff can seek advice on project management matters similarly to how they can seek advice on IG from the IG manager.	31/03/09	Achieved
	Trusts should ensure that asset management procedures reflect the demands of IGT Requirement 307, and are integrated into the project management process.	31/03/09	Achieved
	Trusts should ensure that all physical media are treated as information assets and subjected to asset management processes.	31/03/09*	In progress
	Trusts should evaluate their	28/02/09*	In progress

	<p>current incident reporting system to ensure that reporting thresholds are clearly defined. In case of any doubt, users should be directed to seek immediate advice from the appropriately skilled practitioner e.g. Caldicott guardian, IG/IS officer. Users should also be directed to err on the side of safety and to never delay the reporting process while searches or other evidence are sought.</p>		<p>New Risk Management System due to go live July 2009</p>
	<p>Trusts should carefully compare their existing arrangements with those demanded by IGT Requirement 302, particularly those aspects relating to training and awareness of staff with regard to incident reporting and the need to inform the IG/IS officers when incidents occur. All staff (including contractors, students, volunteers etc.) should receive incident reporting training that covers all incidents affecting Personal Data during the induction process.</p>	<p>31/03/09*</p>	<p>In progress</p>
	<p>Trusts should check that its arrangements for third parties to raise incidents are adequate, that third parties can easily access the information they need to do so, and that they are made aware of the information (as demanded by IGT Requirement 302).</p>	<p>31/03/09*</p>	<p>In progress</p>
	<p>Trusts should establish clear procedures and guidelines which describe how to gather and log evidence during incident response and SUI investigations. Evidence should be collected into tamper evident containers which are labelled with time and date collected, collector, and collection location, as well as incident ID. Photographs should also be taken where possible.</p>	<p>28/02/09*</p>	<p>In progress Incident Reporting and SUI Policies to be reviewed</p>
	<p>The procedures should describe special measures for use where the object in question cannot be processed as described above, e.g. for large equipment or items in operational use. Contacts for specialist advice should be</p>	<p>28/02/09*</p>	<p>In progress Incident Reporting and SUI Policies to be</p>

	established in advance for these situations.		reviewed
	Trusts should establish clear procedures to be used where child pornography (and potentially other types of extreme material) may be present that clearly forbid the copying of such data even for evidential purposes. A contact should be established with the Police who can advise in such circumstances, and appropriate procedures for Police escalation in these cases defined.	31/03/09*	In progress
	Trusts should create a search strategy and associated procedures to ensure that searches are efficient and expand as necessary in order to find the missing material. Starting sites should include all locations the missing material has been known to be at any point.	31/03/09*	In progress
	Trusts should ensure that their SUI policy presents information in the order in which it will be needed. The early stages should be clearly identified and roles and responsibilities as well as reporting and escalation routes, clearly defined. Consideration should be given to presenting information in graphical form so that readers do not miss potential actions, and key decision points are clear. Trusts should ensure that its SUI policy clearly defines SUI to include IG and IS events, such as personal data losses and other disruptive IS events such as computer virus attacks.	28/02/09*	In progress SUI Policy to be reviewed
	Trusts should ensure that its SUI Policy clearly states who are the ex officio and ad hoc members of the investigation panel and how they are to be selected. The selection of the chair should be clearly identified.	28/02/09*	In progress SUI Policy to be reviewed
	Trusts should ensure that the SUI policy considers situations where conflicts of interest might occur. Rules for alternative selection (e.g.	28/02/09*	In progress SUI Policy to be

	as in this case where the CEO asked the Trust Chair to chair the panel) should be defined.		reviewed
	Trusts should consider adding an independent IG or IS professional to SUI panels where IG or IS incidents are being investigated.	N/A	Achieved
	Trusts should add the Information Commissioner's Office to the list of external bodies in the SUI policy.	28/02/09*	In progress SUI Policy to be reviewed
	Trusts should involve IG, IS and Data Protection officers, as well as the Caldicott Guardian within the SUI process.	N/A	Achieved
	Trusts should ensure that all contracts, where it is acting as a supplier, adequately define expectations and procedures for incident reporting.	31/03/09*	In progress
	Trusts should ensure that their incident and SUI policies and procedures clearly describe how and when affected third parties, particularly client trusts in shared service arrangements, are informed about incidents.	28/02/09*	In progress Incident Reporting and SUI Policies to be reviewed
	Trusts should define a clear policy which details minimum standards for passwords. This should indicate minimum lengths for passwords used in different situations, and the importance of using passwords which cannot easily be guessed. The policy should ensure that password strength is consistent with the time that the data must be protected by the password, considering that much health data is valuable to an attacker for an extended period.		Achieved Revised Information Security Policy
	Trusts should ensure that all third parties generating their own passwords to protect trust data follow, as a minimum, the trust policy.	31/03/09*	In progress
	Trusts should consider password generation and vault technologies as part of an overall review of	31/03/09*	In progress

	password generation and storage provision.		
	Trusts should define a clear policy which details how passwords are to be exchanged with third parties.	N/A	Achieved Revised Information Security Policy
	Trusts should ensure that when exchanging passwords a secure email system (if email is used to exchange) is used and not normal insecure email.	N/A	Achieved Revised Information Security Policy
	Trusts should ensure that asset management policies and procedures are applied to passwords.	31/03/09*	In progress
	Trusts should audit storage arrangements in each office location to ensure that staff have readily available access to appropriately secure storage containers for sensitive data. The trust should consider fire and waterproof containers where appropriate.	31/03/09*	In progress
	Trusts should establish strong procedures for the physical storage and handling of sensitive data (in any form).	31/03/09*	In progress
	Trusts should require that sensitive data is stored in a tamper evident manner -particularly if people without a need to access the data have access to the storage container.	31/03/09*	In progress
	Trusts should audit disposal arrangements for sensitive data with a view to ensuring robust disposal. All types of media should be considered including: paper, CD/DVD, hard drives and memory sticks.	31/03/09*	In progress
	Each office area should have well publicised and convenient access to appropriate disposal methods.	31/03/09*	In progress
	Destruction of any registered assets (e.g. CDs) should be appropriately controlled and logged.	31/03/09*	In progress
	Trusts should audit physical access controls for all areas	31/03/09*	In progress

	<p>handling personal data. The audit should consider the strength of the access controls in use and whether their effectiveness is undermined by poor practice or implementation, as well as whether sufficient deterrence exists to discourage criminal activity.</p>		
	<p>Trusts should audit physical access measures for laptops and workstations. All devices should be physically tethered when not stored in secure locations to deter opportunistic theft. Laptop users should be supplied with sufficient tethers so that the devices can be secured at all locations the user operates them in.</p>	31/03/09	Achieved
	<p>Inactivity screen locks should be enforced for all non-clinical systems. Inactivity lockouts should be considered for clinical workstations, if appropriate. Users should be encouraged to lock their systems when not in use, either via CTRL-ALT-DEL or WIN-L</p>	28/02/09*	In progress Revised Information Security Policy
	<p>Trusts should clearly define the procedures for staff to burn CD/DVD. These procedures should require business justification, explanation of purpose for the CD/DVD to be burnt, permission to copy copyright/personal data material (from the owner), as well as any information needed for the container (e.g. handling instructions, contact details), and any asset numbers of source media.</p>	28/02/09*	In progress Revised Information Security Policy approved
	<p>Trusts should ensure that all CD/DVD or similar media that are burnt are entered into a properly controlled asset register. The manufacturer serial number should be included in the register. All media should be permanently marked with the asset number both on the media and on its container. Only blank media supplied by the IM&T department should be used, and only media</p>	28/02/09*	In progress Revised Information Security Policy

	that has a unique serial number from the manufacturer should be purchased.		
	The trust should ensure that all media has appropriate protective markings applied, including media supplied by external parties (which should also have its own asset number). Markings, handling instructions, contact details if lost/unreadable should be added to the container ¹ (e.g. on a CD case insert). Staff should not be permitted to copy any media unless it has a protective marking and trust asset number	28/02/09*	In progress Revised Information Security Policy

*** For work in progress the revised target deadline is 30/06/09 and achievement will be monitored by the Information Governance Steering Group.**

Information Governance Steering Group (IGSG)**Terms of Reference****1. Purpose**

The Information Governance Steering Group (IGSG) is a standing committee accountable to the Executive Committee.

Its purpose is to provide the professional leadership to drive and support the Information Governance agenda and provide the Executive Committee (and Trust Board) with the assurance that effective information governance best practice mechanisms are in place within the organisation.

2. Membership

The membership of this group will be reviewed annually and approved by the Executive Committee. The membership for 2009/10 is :-

Name	Job Title	Role
David Sloman	Chief Executive	Chair of IGSG Senior Information Risk Owner (SIRO)
Clarissa Murdoch	Consultant, Care of Older People	Caldicott Guardian
Susan Sorensen	Corporate Secretary	Freedom of Information Lead Corporate Records Lead
David Emmerson	Asst Dir of IM&T	Information Security Officer Data Protection Officer Data Quality Lead
Glenn Winteringham	IM&T Consultant	Information Governance Support
Jon Green	General Manager	Health Records Lead

3. Attendance

All members of the IGSG are required to attend meetings for continuity purposes. If they are unable to attend then apologies are expected prior to the meetings.

The IG lead for each area will provide brief progress reports on their specific areas of work and bring pieces of work to the group for discussion and approval.

All Trust IG related Policies and Procedures will be approved at IGSG then the Executive Committee.

4. MeetingsFrequency

IGSG will meet quarterly to fulfil its remit.

Agenda and Papers

The agenda will comprise of standing items covering progress reports with the annual IG workplan from each IG lead.

The meeting agenda and supporting papers will be distributed at least 7 days in advance of the meetings to allow time for members' due consideration of issues.

All papers will use the standard Executive Committee cover paper template to clearly state the paper name, date, summary, author, action and compliance with regulatory framework.

Minutes

Formal minutes will be kept of the proceedings and submitted for approval at the next IGSG meeting.

The draft minutes will be quality assured by the Chair of the IGSG prior to circulation.

Other

In order to fulfil its remit, the IGSG will obtain professional advice from external experts\other relevant staff representatives as required.

5. Key Responsibilities

- 5.1. To develop and implement a Trust wide Information Governance framework is in place to ensure compliance with statutory requirements and regulatory guidance.
- 5.2. To develop and implement Information Governance policies and associated Information Governance implementation plans to maintain the currency of the policies.
- 5.3. To develop and implement an annual Information Governance Improvement Plan based on the CfH Information Governance Toolkit.
- 5.4. To prepare and submit the annual CfH Information Governance Toolkit assessment for sign off by the Executive Committee.
- 5.5. To review Information Governance risks on the risk register, identify new risks, and implement recommendations to mitigate or treat the identified risks.
- 5.6. To ensure that all staff are made aware of the Information Governance framework and appropriate training is made available.
- 5.7. To co-ordinate the activities of the Information Governance leads for Confidentiality, Data Protection, Data Quality, Freedom of Information, Information Security, and Records Management.
- 5.8. To review annually the Trust's management and accountability arrangements for Information Governance.
- 5.9. To provide a focal point for the resolution and/or discussion of Information Governance issues.

6. Management and Accountability

The IGSG will be chaired by the Senior Information Risk Owner and will report to the Executive Committee quarterly and the Trust Board annually in March.

The Chief Executive has overall accountability for ensuring that the organisation operates in accordance with the law with the support of their subordinates.

The Caldicott Guardian will report IGSG progress to the Clinical Governance Committee quarterly.

7. Authority

The IGSG is authorised by the Executive Committee to investigate any activity within its terms of reference.

It is authorised to seek any information it requires from any employee and all employees are directed to co-operate with any request made by the IGSG.

The IGSG are also authorised to implement any activity which is in line with the terms of reference, as part of the IG annual work programme, which shall be signed off by the Executive Committee and Trust Board.

8. Performance of the IGSG

The IGSG will review its own performance, effectiveness, and terms of reference on an annual basis in March.

The IGSG will submit the annual Information Governance Toolkit assessment to the Executive Committee for sign off, prior to submission to NHS Connecting for Health.